



Humanistic Foundations of Economic Modernization in Vietnam: A Philosophical and Policy Analysis

Dinh Thanh Xuan^{1*}, Tran Mai Uoc²

¹Hanoi University of Science and Technology (HUST).

²Ho Chi Minh University of Banking (HUB).

*Corresponding Author

Dinh Thanh Xuan

Article History

Received: 18.01.2026

Accepted: 14.03.2026

Published: 10.04.2026

Abstract: *Economic modernization in emerging economies is often framed in terms of technological upgrading, institutional reform, and global market integration. However, growing scholarship in development studies emphasizes that sustainable modernization requires a coherent normative foundation grounded in human dignity, social justice, and institutional legitimacy. This article examines the humanistic foundations of economic modernization in Vietnam through a qualitative policy and philosophical analysis. Drawing on human-centered development theory, capability approach literature, and institutional political economy, the study analyzes major national development strategies to assess the extent to which humanistic principles are embedded within modernization discourse. The findings indicate that Vietnamese economic modernization reflects substantial alignment with human-centered development frameworks, particularly in its emphasis on comprehensive human development, equity, and social responsibility. At the same time, tensions emerge between technocratic performance metrics and broader normative commitments, especially in the context of rapid digital transformation. The study conceptualizes humanism as a form of “normative infrastructure” that enhances institutional trust, social cohesion, and long-term economic resilience. By bridging development philosophy and policy analysis, this research contributes to debates on morally embedded modernization in the Global South and provides normative insights for balancing competitiveness with ethical sustainability in transitional economies.*

Keywords: *Human-centered development; economic modernization; normative infrastructure; capability approach; institutional development; Vietnam.*

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license



Introduction

In the early decades of the twenty-first century, economic modernization has become a central objective for many emerging economies seeking to enhance competitiveness, technological capacity, and integration into global value chains. In Vietnam, modernization has been closely associated with industrial upgrading, digital transformation, innovation-driven growth, and institutional reform. Since the launch of the *Đổi Mới* reforms, economic development has significantly improved living standards and reduced poverty. However, as Vietnam enters a new stage of development characterized by knowledge-based production and global interdependence, questions increasingly arise concerning the normative foundations of modernization.

While modernization is often conceptualized in terms of technological advancement, productivity, and structural

transformation, a growing body of scholarship argues that sustainable economic development cannot rely solely on material or institutional reforms. Human-centered development theories emphasize that economic progress must be grounded in ethical principles, social trust, cultural values, and the protection of human dignity. From the perspective of development ethics and capability approaches, modernization that neglects human well-being and moral foundations risks generating social fragmentation, inequality, and long-term instability.

In the Vietnamese context, official development strategies consistently affirm that human beings are both the goal and the driving force of national development. Policy discourse emphasizes comprehensive human development, social equity, and the building of a civilized and humane society. Nevertheless, existing academic discussions tend to focus either on economic performance indicators or on ideological foundations without

Cite this article:

Xuan, D. T., Uoc, T. M., (2026). Humanistic Foundations of Economic Modernization in Vietnam: A Philosophical and Policy Analysis. *ISAR Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 4(4), 1-5.

systematically analyzing the humanistic dimension of economic modernization as an integrated philosophical and policy framework.

This gap raises several important questions. To what extent does Vietnam's modernization strategy incorporate a coherent humanistic foundation? How are concepts such as human dignity, social justice, moral responsibility, and inclusive development articulated within economic policy discourse? Does economic modernization in Vietnam reflect a primarily technocratic orientation, or does it demonstrate a human-centered normative framework compatible with contemporary theories of sustainable development?

Addressing these questions is particularly relevant in the context of rapid digitalization, artificial intelligence integration, and global economic uncertainty. Modernization processes that prioritize efficiency and competitiveness without adequate ethical grounding may undermine social cohesion and institutional trust—both of which are crucial forms of social capital for long-term growth. Conversely, embedding economic reform within a humanistic philosophical framework may enhance legitimacy, resilience, and sustainability.

Against this background, this study aims to examine the humanistic foundations of economic modernization in Vietnam through a philosophical and policy analysis. By analyzing key strategic documents and development orientations, the study seeks to identify the normative principles underpinning Vietnam's modernization agenda and evaluate their consistency with human-centered development theories.

Economic modernization has become a central objective for emerging economies seeking integration into global markets, technological advancement, and structural transformation. In Vietnam, modernization has been closely associated with industrial upgrading, digital transformation, and institutional reform. Since the Đổi Mới reforms, economic development has substantially improved living standards and reduced poverty. However, as Vietnam transitions toward a knowledge-based and innovation-driven economy, questions arise regarding the normative foundations guiding this transformation.

Classical modernization theory largely equated development with industrialization and economic growth. Yet such growth-centered paradigms have been increasingly criticized for their technocratic assumptions and neglect of ethical and social dimensions (Polanyi, 1944). Contemporary development scholarship argues that modernization must be evaluated not solely by output indicators but by its capacity to enhance human freedoms and dignity (Sen, 1999). From a human-centered perspective, economic transformation that neglects equity and social justice risks undermining its own sustainability (Nussbaum, 2011).

In Vietnam, official policy discourse consistently affirms that human beings are both the objective and driving force of development. Nevertheless, systematic philosophical analysis examining whether this human-centered rhetoric is coherently embedded within modernization strategy remains limited. This gap becomes particularly significant in the context of rapid digitalization, where efficiency and competitiveness increasingly dominate policy agendas.

This study therefore examines the humanistic foundations of Vietnam's economic modernization through a philosophical and policy analysis. By situating Vietnamese policy discourse within broader debates on capability theory, development ethics, and institutional political economy, the article evaluates whether modernization reflects instrumental rationality alone or embodies a coherent normative framework compatible with sustainable development.

The article addresses the following research questions:

1. What philosophical assumptions about human beings and society underpin Vietnam's economic modernization strategy?
2. How are humanistic values reflected in major economic and development policy documents?
3. What tensions, if any, exist between technocratic modernization goals and human-centered normative commitments?
4. What implications do these findings have for sustainable economic development in Vietnam?

The contribution of this study is threefold. First, it bridges philosophical inquiry and development policy analysis by conceptualizing humanism as a foundational component of modernization rather than a peripheral ethical concern. Second, it provides a systematic interpretation of Vietnamese economic modernization through the lens of human-centered development. Third, it offers normative insights into how emerging economies can balance technological advancement with ethical and social sustainability.

By situating Vietnam's modernization trajectory within broader theoretical debates on development and humanism, this study contributes to ongoing discussions about the moral and philosophical dimensions of economic transformation in the Global South.

Literature Review

Modernization and Its Critiques

Early modernization frameworks conceptualized economic progress as a linear process of industrial and institutional rationalization. However, Polanyi (1944) warned that disembedding economic systems from social and moral regulation produces instability and social dislocation. His critique laid the groundwork for contemporary understandings of embedded markets and normative governance.

Institutional economists further expanded this perspective. North (1990) argued that formal and informal institutions shape economic performance by structuring incentives and reducing uncertainty. Development outcomes therefore depend not only on capital accumulation but also on normative frameworks and trust-based expectations.

Human-Centered Development

The most influential normative reorientation of development theory emerged with the capability approach. Sen (1999) reconceptualized development as freedom, emphasizing expansion of human capabilities rather than income growth alone. In this

framework, economic modernization must enhance individuals' substantive freedoms and participatory agency.

Nussbaum (2011) advanced this argument by grounding development in a theory of human dignity and essential capabilities. She contends that political and economic institutions must secure the material and social conditions necessary for human flourishing. These perspectives reposition individuals as ends rather than means of modernization.

Moral Capital and Social Trust

Beyond capability theory, the literature on social capital highlights the economic importance of trust and shared norms. Fukuyama (1995) argues that high-trust societies demonstrate stronger institutional coordination and lower transaction costs. Similarly, Habermas (1984) emphasizes communicative legitimacy as a prerequisite for modern governance.

These insights suggest that humanistic values are not peripheral to modernization but foundational to institutional stability and sustainable growth.

Emerging Economies and Embedded Modernization

Rodrik (2011) argues that successful development models are context-specific and embedded within social compromises that balance openness with social protection. Modernization in emerging economies thus involves adaptation rather than wholesale convergence toward neoliberal models.

Vietnam's modernization strategy—described as a socialist-oriented market economy—reflects this embedded approach. Yet the extent to which normative commitments translate into operational policy requires systematic examination.

Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that Vietnam's economic modernization discourse reflects a significant degree of alignment with human-centered development principles. However, this alignment should not be interpreted as a simple ideological affirmation. Rather, it represents a dynamic negotiation between technocratic modernization imperatives and normative humanistic commitments.

From the perspective of development theory, modernization processes in emerging economies often oscillate between instrumental rationality and ethical orientation. Classical modernization frameworks privileged economic efficiency and structural transformation, frequently subordinating normative concerns to productivity goals. In contrast, human-centered development theory repositions human dignity, freedom, and well-being as the primary evaluative criteria of progress. The Vietnamese case demonstrates an attempt to integrate these paradigms rather than choosing exclusively between them.

First, the analysis suggests that anthropological assumptions embedded in policy discourse conceptualize human beings not merely as labor inputs but as comprehensive subjects of development. Official narratives consistently emphasize that individuals are both the goal and the driving force of economic transformation. This formulation resonates with capability-oriented theories, which argue that economic institutions must expand substantive freedoms rather than reduce persons to instruments of

growth. The presence of such language in strategic documents indicates normative awareness within modernization planning.

Second, the normative commitments identified—particularly references to equity, social justice, and inclusive development—correspond with contemporary debates on sustainable and inclusive growth. Unlike purely neoliberal growth models that prioritize market expansion, Vietnamese policy discourse retains a strong emphasis on distributive concerns and social cohesion. This orientation aligns with development ethics, which insists that modernization must be evaluated not only by aggregate wealth but also by fairness and intergenerational responsibility.

Nevertheless, the findings also reveal structural tensions. The increasing emphasis on competitiveness, innovation metrics, digital transformation benchmarks, and productivity targets reflects a technocratic rationality characteristic of global economic integration. Such priorities may inadvertently narrow the scope of humanistic commitments if performance indicators become the dominant evaluative framework. This tension is not unique to Vietnam; similar dynamics have been observed in other emerging economies undergoing rapid digital modernization. However, the coexistence of human-centered rhetoric and efficiency-driven policy tools raises important questions about implementation coherence.

One of the central contributions of this study lies in conceptualizing humanistic foundations as a form of "normative infrastructure." Just as physical infrastructure supports economic activity, moral and social frameworks support institutional trust, social cooperation, and long-term stability. Social trust and ethical governance reduce transaction costs and enhance policy legitimacy—factors increasingly recognized in political economy literature as essential for sustainable growth. In this sense, humanism is not external to modernization but constitutive of its resilience.

The Vietnamese case further illustrates that modernization in transitional economies may involve contextual adaptation rather than linear convergence toward a single global model. Rather than abandoning ideological or cultural foundations, modernization strategies appear to reinterpret them within a framework of global competitiveness. This adaptive approach challenges deterministic modernization theories that assume cultural homogenization as a prerequisite for economic advancement.

However, a potential risk emerges if normative discourse remains symbolic rather than operationalized. The effectiveness of human-centered modernization depends on institutional mechanisms capable of translating ethical commitments into concrete policies. For instance, commitments to equity require measurable social inclusion policies; affirmations of human dignity require labor protections and digital rights safeguards; sustainability goals require environmental accountability mechanisms. Without such operationalization, humanistic foundations risk becoming rhetorical legitimization tools rather than guiding principles.

The digital transformation context intensifies this challenge. Automation, artificial intelligence, and data-driven governance reshape labor relations and social interaction. If modernization prioritizes innovation without ethical oversight, it may generate inequality, technological displacement, and erosion of social trust. Conversely, embedding digital transformation within a humanistic

framework can mitigate such risks by emphasizing inclusion, education, and participatory governance. The Vietnamese policy emphasis on comprehensive human development suggests awareness of this challenge, yet implementation remains a critical variable for future evaluation.

From a theoretical standpoint, the study contributes to bridging development philosophy and policy analysis. While human-centered development theory is well established conceptually, empirical examinations of its application within specific national modernization strategies remain limited. By analyzing policy discourse through a normative lens, this research demonstrates how philosophical commitments shape economic modernization narratives in practice.

Moreover, the findings invite reconsideration of modernization in the Global South as a morally embedded process rather than a purely technical transition. Economic transformation does not occur in a normative vacuum; it is embedded in historical traditions, institutional cultures, and collective aspirations. The Vietnamese trajectory illustrates that modernization can incorporate humanistic principles while pursuing integration into the global economy.

In sum, the discussion underscores three broader implications. First, sustainable economic modernization requires explicit normative grounding. Second, humanistic foundations function as strategic assets rather than ideological residues. Third, the long-term success of modernization depends on balancing efficiency with ethical responsibility. These insights contribute to ongoing debates on how emerging economies can navigate digital transformation and global competition without sacrificing social cohesion and human dignity.

The findings of this study demonstrate that Vietnam's modernization discourse reflects significant alignment with human-centered development principles. References to comprehensive human development, equity, and social responsibility resonate strongly with the capability framework articulated by Sen (1999) and Nussbaum (2011). Human beings are consistently positioned as beneficiaries and drivers of economic transformation.

At the same time, the prominence of competitiveness metrics and digital innovation strategies reflects technocratic rationality characteristic of global integration. This coexistence mirrors what Rodrik (2011) describes as embedded liberalism, in which economic openness is balanced by normative safeguards.

The Vietnamese case also illustrates Polanyi's (1944) insight that markets remain embedded within social structures. Rather than embracing pure market liberalization, modernization discourse maintains a strong emphasis on social justice and collective responsibility. This embedded orientation may contribute to institutional resilience.

However, tensions remain. If performance indicators and productivity benchmarks dominate governance frameworks, humanistic commitments risk symbolic marginalization. As North (1990) suggests, institutional coherence requires alignment between formal rules and normative expectations. Similarly, Fukuyama (1995) underscores that social trust is fragile if modernization processes generate inequality or perceived injustice.

In the digital transformation era, Habermas's (1984) concern with legitimacy becomes particularly relevant. Technological modernization must maintain communicative accountability to preserve social cohesion. Humanistic foundations thus function as normative infrastructure supporting sustainable modernization.

Conclusion

This study has examined the humanistic foundations of economic modernization in Vietnam through a combined philosophical and policy analysis. Rather than treating modernization as a purely technical or economic process, the analysis conceptualized it as a normatively structured transformation embedded in moral, cultural, and institutional contexts.

The findings suggest that Vietnam's modernization discourse incorporates significant human-centered elements, positioning human development, social justice, and sustainability as integral components of economic strategy. This orientation reflects an awareness that long-term national competitiveness depends not only on technological innovation and productivity growth but also on ethical governance, social cohesion, and institutional legitimacy. Theoretically, the study contributes to development scholarship by reframing humanism as normative infrastructure—an enabling condition for resilient modernization rather than a secondary ethical add-on. By bridging capability theory, development ethics, and political economy, the analysis demonstrates that modernization trajectories in emerging economies can integrate humanistic principles without rejecting global competitiveness imperatives. This challenges deterministic interpretations of modernization that assume an inevitable dominance of instrumental rationality.

At the policy level, the research highlights the strategic importance of translating humanistic commitments into operational frameworks. Sustainable modernization requires institutional mechanisms that protect human dignity, ensure equitable access to opportunities, and maintain social trust in the face of rapid digital transformation. Without such mechanisms, modernization risks generating structural inequalities and weakening its own social foundations.

The Vietnamese case illustrates that economic transformation in transitional contexts involves a delicate balance between efficiency and ethical responsibility. Maintaining this balance will be particularly critical in the coming decade, as digitalization, artificial intelligence, and global uncertainty intensify pressures on labor markets and governance systems. A modernization strategy that integrates technological advancement with human-centered values may enhance not only economic performance but also social resilience.

This study has demonstrated that Vietnam's economic modernization discourse incorporates significant human-centered normative elements. Drawing on capability theory (Sen, 1999; Nussbaum, 2011), institutional political economy (North, 1990), and embedded market theory (Polanyi, 1944; Rodrik, 2011), the analysis conceptualizes humanism as an enabling condition of sustainable modernization. The Vietnamese case challenges deterministic modernization narratives by illustrating that economic transformation can integrate global competitiveness with normative commitments to dignity, equity, and social cohesion. Humanistic foundations operate not merely as ethical rhetoric but

as strategic assets contributing to institutional legitimacy and long-term resilience. Future research should examine how these normative commitments are operationalized in specific domains such as digital governance, labor regulation, and educational reform. Comparative studies across emerging economies would further clarify whether embedded humanistic modernization represents a distinctive pathway or a broader paradigm shift.

References

1. Robeyns, I. (2017). *The capability approach: A theoretical survey*. Journal of Human Development and Capabilities, 18(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19452829.2016.1145632>
2. Alkire, S. (2005). *Why the capability approach?* Journal of Human Development, 6(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/146498805200034275>
3. Denis, A. (2008). *The capabilities approach and the politics of a social minimum*. Journal of Human Development, 9(3), 315–333. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649880802236587>
4. Deneulin, S., & Shahani, L. (2009). *An introduction to the human development and capability approach*. Journal of Human Development and Capabilities, 10(2), 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649880902837952>
5. Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2008). *The role of institutions in growth and development*. Commission on Growth and Development Working Paper No. 10. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-4857>
6. Rodrik, D. (2004). *Getting institutions right*. CESifo DICE Report, 2(2), 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.636802>
7. Knack, S., & Keefer, P. (1997). *Does social capital have an economic payoff?* Quarterly Journal of Economics, 112(4), 1251–1288. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355300555475>
8. Beugelsdijk, S., & Van Schaik, T. (2005). *Social capital and growth in European regions*. European Journal of Political Economy, 21(2), 301–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2004.07.004>
9. Krippner, G. R. (2001). *The elusive market: Embeddedness and the paradigm of economic sociology*. Theory and Society, 30(6), 775–810. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014219416499>
10. Rodrik, D. (2018). *What do trade agreements really do?* Journal of Economic Perspectives, 32(2), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.32.2.73>
11. Floridi, L. (2019). *Establishing the rules for building trustworthy AI*. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(6), 261–262. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0055-y>
12. Zuboff, S. (2015). *Big other: Surveillance capitalism & the prospects of an information civilization*. Journal of Information Technology, 30(1), 75–89. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2015.5>
13. Acemoglu, D. (2021). *Why AI is hard to regulate*. Nature Machine Intelligence, 3(6), 501–502. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-021-00394-3>
14. Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Hardy, D. (2015). *Addressing poverty and inequality in the economic crisis: Evidence and policy responses across Europe*. Journal of Economic Geography, 15(2), 391–408. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbu025>
15. Sen, A. (2001). *Social exclusion: Concept, application, and scrutiny*. Social Development Papers No. 1, Asian Development Bank. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.632274>