



Community Engagement in Prehospital Care: Enhancing Emergency Preparedness and Response

Abdullah Mousa Aleryani^{1*}, Ahmed Dhafer Alasmari², Jafar Mubarak Al Murdhimah³, Meshal Ahmed Aledaini⁴,
 Mohammad Hadi AL Mansour⁵, Essa Mohammed Albuhayri⁶, Naeem Dawood Kamaluddin⁷, Mohammad saleh
 Alghamdi⁸

Technician, Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Jeddah.

*Corresponding Author

Abdullah Mousa Aleryani

Technician, Emergency Medical
 Services, Red Crescent Jeddah.



Article History

Received: 10.09.2024

Accepted: 21.10.2024

Published: 06.11.2024

Abstract: - This review examines the vital role of community engagement in enhancing prehospital care and improving emergency preparedness. Prehospital care, which encompasses the medical services provided before patients reach definitive care facilities, is crucial for timely interventions during emergencies. The involvement of community members in health planning and response strategies significantly impacts the effectiveness of emergency medical services (EMS). This study synthesizes existing literature to demonstrate that active community participation not only improves health outcomes but also fosters trust and collaboration between healthcare providers and the communities they serve. The findings reveal that integrating community input into prehospital care models can lead to tailored services that address specific local health needs, ultimately strengthening community resilience during crises. However, challenges such as communication barriers and disparities in access to prehospital services persist, particularly in underserved populations. These issues highlight the need for more focused and inclusive approaches to community engagement. This review underscores the importance of collaborative practices in prehospital care, emphasizing that empowering communities can enhance emergency response capabilities and improve overall health outcomes. The study calls for further research to explore effective strategies for integrating community engagement into emergency preparedness plans, ensuring a more responsive and equitable healthcare system.

Keywords: Community Engagement, Prehospital Care, Emergency Preparedness, Health Outcomes, Resilience.

Cite this article:

Aleryani, A.M., Alasmari, A.D., Al Murdhimah, J.M., Aledaini, M.A., AL Mansour, M.H., Albuhayri, E.M., Kamaluddin, N.D., Alghamdi, M.S., (2024). Community Engagement in Prehospital Care: Enhancing Emergency Preparedness and Response. *ISAR Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2(11), 9-15.

1. Introduction

Prehospital care encompasses the emergency medical services (EMS) provided before a patient reaches a hospital. This care is critical in stabilizing patients, managing trauma, and initiating treatment for various medical emergencies. As highlighted in the literature, effective prehospital care is essential for improving patient outcomes, especially in acute situations such as trauma or bioterrorism events (Flowers et al., 2002). Enhancements in prehospital protocols and the integration of innovative technologies, such as telehealth, can further support the quality of care delivered in these settings (French et al., 2019).

Prehospital care refers to the medical services provided to patients before they reach a hospital or definitive care facility. This includes emergency medical services (EMS) that respond to urgent health situations, providing critical interventions and transportation to hospitals. The scope of prehospital care encompasses a range of activities, including assessment, stabilization, and treatment of

patients in various emergency scenarios, such as accidents, natural disasters, and public health emergencies (Ramsbottom, et al. 2018). The integration of community engagement in prehospital care is essential for enhancing the effectiveness of these services, as it fosters collaboration between healthcare providers and the communities they serve (Shannon, et al. 2022).

Emergency preparedness is fundamental to ensuring a robust response to disasters, including natural calamities or bioterrorism attacks. Preparedness involves planning, training, and resource allocation to mitigate the impact of emergencies on communities. Studies indicate that a comprehensive emergency response plan that incorporates an all-hazards approach can significantly reduce morbidity and mortality during public health crises (Flowers et al., 2002). The recent challenges posed by events like hurricanes underscore the necessity for health systems to be equipped to handle surges in patient volume and to coordinate effectively across various sectors (French et al., 2019).

Community engagement is vital in the development and implementation of effective health systems. Engaging patients and communities fosters trust and enhances the responsiveness of healthcare services to the population's needs (Shaker & Matthew, 2021). Research shows that involving community members in health planning and response strategies leads to improved health outcomes and greater resilience in the face of emergencies (Tran et al., 2019). This collaborative approach empowers communities to take an active role in their health, particularly during crises when timely and effective action is crucial.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

The present review aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. to analyse the current state of prehospital care practices and their effectiveness in emergency scenarios.
2. to examine the impact of community engagement on emergency preparedness and response strategies.
3. to identify best practices and frameworks for integrating community input into prehospital care models.

1.2 Significance of the Study

The present study is significant as it explores the critical role of community involvement in improving emergency preparedness and prehospital care outcomes. By highlighting how engaged communities can enhance readiness, mobilize resources, and support one another during crises, the research underscores the importance of building community resilience. Additionally, the findings can inform policymakers and healthcare administrators by identifying best practices for integrating community perspectives into prehospital care models, thereby guiding the development of more effective health policies and emergency response strategies. This study also contributes to the existing literature by addressing gaps in current emergency preparedness practices, ultimately aiming to create a more responsive and effective healthcare system capable of mitigating the impacts of emergencies and disasters.

1.3 Definitions of Key Terms

1. Prehospital Care: Refers to medical services provided to patients before they reach a hospital or definitive care facility. This includes emergency medical services (EMS) that respond to urgent health situations, offering critical interventions and transportation in various emergency scenarios, such as accidents, natural disasters, and public health emergencies (Ramsbottom et al., 2018).
2. Emergency Medical Services (EMS): A system that provides urgent medical care and transportation to individuals experiencing acute health emergencies. EMS encompasses prehospital care delivered by trained personnel, including paramedics and emergency medical technicians (EMTs).
3. Community Engagement: The process of involving community members in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of health initiatives. Community engagement fosters collaboration between healthcare providers and the populations they serve, enhancing trust and responsiveness to community needs (Shaker & Matthew, 2021).
4. Emergency Preparedness: A proactive approach that involves planning, training, and resource allocation to

mitigate the impacts of emergencies, including natural disasters and public health crises. Effective emergency preparedness ensures that health systems are equipped to manage surges in patient volume and coordinate care across various sectors (Flowers et al., 2002).

5. Community Resilience: The ability of a community to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from adverse events. Community resilience is built through strong social networks, effective communication, and the active involvement of community members in health and emergency planning (Tran et al., 2019).
6. Community Paramedicine: A model of care that expands the role of paramedics beyond traditional emergency response to proactively address community health needs. This approach integrates public health principles into prehospital care, promoting health education and preventive services within the community (Razzak & Kellermann, 2002).

2. Methodology

This section outlines the methodology employed in this study to analyze the role of community engagement in enhancing prehospital care and emergency preparedness. The research design, literature search strategy, data analysis techniques, and ethical considerations are discussed in detail.

2.1 Research Design

The study adopts a systematic review approach to collate and synthesize existing literature on community engagement in prehospital care. This design allows for a comprehensive understanding of how community involvement impacts emergency preparedness and response strategies.

2.2 Literature Search Strategy

A systematic literature search was conducted across multiple databases to gather relevant studies addressing community engagement in prehospital care. The search aimed to include both qualitative and quantitative studies published in peer-reviewed journals.

2.2.1 Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- Studies published in English within the last ten years.
- Research focusing on community engagement within prehospital care settings.
- Articles that report on emergency preparedness and response strategies.
- Both empirical studies and theoretical frameworks that discuss community involvement in health planning and emergency response.

2.2.2 Exclusion Criteria

The exclusion criteria included:

- Studies not published in peer-reviewed journals, such as opinion pieces or editorials.
- Research outside the context of prehospital care and emergency preparedness.

- Articles focused solely on hospital-based care without discussing prehospital implications.
- Studies lacking sufficient detail on community engagement practices.

2.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis involved several steps to ensure a thorough evaluation of the selected literature.

2.3.1 Data Extraction

Data were extracted from each study using a standardized form, which included information on the authors, year of publication, study design, sample size, key findings, and implications for community engagement in prehospital care.

2.3.2 Quality Assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed using a standardized tool tailored for systematic reviews. This assessment considered factors such as study design, methodology, sample size, and relevance to the research objectives. Each study was rated to determine its strength and contribution to the overall findings.

2.3.3 Data Synthesis

The extracted data were synthesized using thematic analysis to identify common themes and trends across the studies. This synthesis facilitated a comprehensive understanding of how community engagement influences emergency preparedness and the effectiveness of prehospital care.

2.3.4 Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Since the study involved the review of existing literature, there were no direct ethical concerns regarding participant confidentiality or consent. However, the research adhered to ethical standards by ensuring accurate representation of the original studies and appropriately citing all sources. Additionally, the findings aim to contribute to the improvement of emergency preparedness and community health, aligning with ethical principles of beneficence and social responsibility.

3. Literature Review

As emergencies can vary widely in nature and scale, the need for adaptable and responsive care becomes paramount. Studies indicate that when communities are actively engaged in health planning and response strategies, there is a marked improvement in resource mobilization and overall effectiveness of emergency interventions. This review synthesizes current literature to identify best practices, challenges, and gaps in knowledge regarding community engagement in prehospital care. By examining a diverse array of studies, this investigation aims to highlight how collaborative approaches can enhance the resilience of communities and improve the quality of emergency care delivered. Ultimately, understanding the dynamics of community engagement in this context is essential for developing strategies that meet the unique needs of different populations and enhance the overall effectiveness of emergency preparedness frameworks.

The study by Flowers, et al. (2002) explored the critical aspects of community preparedness and response systems in the context of bioterrorism, emphasizing the necessity of an all-hazards approach

to planning. The authors aim to analyze the unique challenges posed by biological threats and the integration of public health with emergency medical services. Utilizing a comprehensive literature review and historical analysis of notable bioterrorism events, the study examines various preparedness strategies and surveillance methodologies. While the research does not focus on a specific sample population, it draws insights from historical occurrences and existing frameworks within U.S. communities. The study reveals that effective preparedness hinges on the timely recognition of abnormal health events and the establishment of robust surveillance systems, alongside enhanced collaboration between law enforcement and medical communities. Ultimately, the authors underscore that a well-informed response to potential biological threats requires clear protocols and a deep understanding of the risks involved, thereby enhancing emergency readiness and community resilience.

In the study by Khudadad et al. (2020), the authors aimed to explore the perceptions of healthcare professionals regarding the trauma and emergency care system in Kabul, Afghanistan. Utilizing a concurrent mixed-methods design, the researchers conducted key-informant interviews with 18 healthcare providers, hospital managers, and policymakers, alongside a structured emergency care system assessment involving 35 respondents. The study identified four main themes highlighting challenges: pre-hospital care, cohesive trauma management systems, physical and human resources, and stewardship. Key findings revealed significant gaps in the pre-hospital ambulance system, inadequate access to surgical care, and a lack of inter-facility communication, underscoring the urgent need for systematic improvements in trauma care services to enhance emergency preparedness and response in the region.

In the study by Dickert et al. (2014), the authors aimed to assess the perspectives and experiences of principal investigators and study coordinators regarding community consultation in a multicenter trial focused on prehospital treatment for status epilepticus. The study employed a web-based survey methodology, targeting the principal investigators and coordinators at all 17 hubs involved in the Rapid Anticonvulsant Medication Prior to Arrival Trial (RAMPART). A total of 28 individuals participated, comprising 16 coordinators and 12 principal investigators. The results indicated that while multiple community consultation goals were recognized as important, most respondents found that the influence of community consultation on study design was less impactful. All sites utilized various consultation methods, with existing group meetings being the most favored approach, although challenges such as low attendance at public meetings were noted. Overall, the findings highlight the necessity of effective community engagement strategies in prehospital research to enhance public interest and participation.

In the study by Rhodes et al. (2016), the authors aimed to qualitatively describe the factors that contributed to the successful implementation of a prehospital spinal immobilization guideline across emergency medical services (EMS) in two Colorado counties. The researchers conducted semi-structured interviews with a purposively selected sample of ten key informants, including EMS personnel, emergency department (ED) staff, and hospital decision-makers, to gather insights on the implementation process. The qualitative analysis revealed that the implementation was deemed successful despite previous challenges in

collaboration among local EMS organizations and hospitals. Key themes identified included the perception of the guideline as a progressive paradigm shift in care, the importance of intensive collaborative education, and the need for shared ownership of equipment, which facilitated stakeholder engagement and commitment to the initiative. Overall, the study highlights the significance of community collaboration and education in enhancing prehospital care practices.

In the study by French et al. (2019), the authors aimed to explore the effectiveness of telehealth technologies in enhancing emergency medical services (EMS) coordination and care during hurricanes, specifically Hurricane Florence in South Carolina. The study employed a descriptive methodology, detailing the implementation of a telehealth model in local shelters to assist EMS personnel in providing remote medical assessments and triage. The sample consisted of EMS providers and emergency physicians collaborating to evaluate the feasibility of telehealth interventions during disaster response. The findings indicated that while the anticipated usage of telehealth was limited due to the storm's trajectory, the pilot study demonstrated the potential for telehealth applications to facilitate care in shelters, improve access to medical support, and alleviate the burden on EMS resources during emergencies. The study underscores the importance of establishing telehealth infrastructure in advance of disasters to enhance community health outcomes.

In the study by Stirling et al. (2007), the authors aimed to explore how expanded scope paramedics (ESPs) can enhance community engagement and improve emergency response capacities in rural areas. The research utilized a qualitative case study methodology, examining four Australian rural ESP roles across Tasmania, New South Wales, South Australia, and Victoria. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, observations, and document reviews. The findings revealed that ESPs significantly increased interactions between ambulance services and rural communities, leading to enhanced community response capabilities, closer ties between communities and ambulance services, and improved health promotion and illness prevention efforts. The study emphasizes the critical role of community engagement in expanding the capacities of paramedics and improving health service delivery in rural settings.

In the editorial by Shaker and Greenhawt (2021), the authors aim to address the underutilization of epinephrine for anaphylaxis in prehospital settings and the need for enhanced community engagement in its administration. The editorial discusses a meta-analysis conducted by Miles et al. (2021) focusing on community epinephrine use, which analyzed 75 studies to understand prescription rates and usage patterns across different populations. The findings indicate that while children are more likely than adults to receive prehospital epinephrine (20.98% vs. 7.17%), overall, less than a quarter of individuals experiencing anaphylaxis receive timely treatment. This underlines the importance of addressing barriers such as low prescription rates and lack of access to stock epinephrine in public spaces, alongside the need for improved patient education and engagement to empower individuals at risk. The editorial emphasizes that a collaborative approach involving healthcare providers, patients, families, and communities is vital to enhance the effectiveness of anaphylaxis care.

In the commentary by Vaillancourt et al. (2018), the authors aim to improve the care and comfort of patients with neck injuries while optimizing healthcare resource utilization through the development of the Canadian C-Spine Rule. The study employed a pragmatic stepped-wedge cluster randomized trial design, involving paramedics and patients across Ontario to evaluate the rule's effectiveness in real-world scenarios. A sample of 4,034 eligible patients was assessed, with paramedics successfully transporting 60% without immobilization, ensuring full immobilization for those with confirmed cervical spine injuries. The study highlighted the importance of shifting focus from purely safety-related outcomes to patient-centered metrics, such as pain and discomfort, which were prioritized through the involvement of a patient partner. This collaboration ultimately enhanced the relevance of the findings and facilitated better patient care.

Tran, et al. (2019) conducted a systematic narrative review to explore the sociocultural dimensions of prehospital emergency care in lower middle-income countries (LMICs), aiming to improve the quality and impact of emergency services. Utilizing qualitative studies, the authors systematically reviewed literature to analyze emergency medical service (EMS) systems through Kleinman's health system theory, focusing on folk, popular, and professional sectors. The review ultimately selected 14 relevant studies from over 3,000 papers, highlighting user and provider experiences with emergency care. The findings revealed that sociocultural factors, such as trust and community attitudes, significantly influenced service-seeking behaviors, with traditional medicine often serving as the first point of care. The study underscored the need for health systems to integrate cultural considerations to enhance trust and improve emergency care outcomes in LMICs.

In their scoping review, Rasku et al. (2019) aimed to identify and describe the core components of Community Paramedicine (CP) as an integrated model of care within primary healthcare settings. The study employed a systematic literature search across five electronic databases, yielding 21 relevant articles published between 2005 and June 2018. The sample included studies from Australia, Canada, and the United States, focusing on various aspects of CP. Using inductive content analysis, the authors identified four core components: community engagement, multi-agency collaboration, patient-centered prevention, and outcomes related to cost-effectiveness and patient experiences. The findings indicated that CP programs are promising for enhancing healthcare delivery; however, there is a notable lack of comprehensive research on their effectiveness and integration within the broader healthcare system.

Skolarus et al. (2016) conducted a study titled "Stroke Ready Intervention: Community Engagement to Decrease Prehospital Delay," which aimed to enhance stroke preparedness in high-risk communities, particularly among African Americans in Flint, Michigan. The researchers implemented a peer-led, workshop-based intervention designed to increase the ability to recognize stroke symptoms and the intention to call emergency medical services (EMS) promptly. The study involved 101 participants—73 adults and 28 youth—who completed assessments before and after the workshops. The primary outcome measured was the appropriate stroke response, while the secondary outcome evaluated stroke recognition. Results indicated a significant improvement in appropriate stroke responses from a baseline score of 4.4 to 5.2 in both immediate and delayed post-tests ($P < 0.01$), while stroke recognition increased from 5.9 to 6.2 in the delayed

post-test ($P = 0.04$). These findings suggest that community engagement through targeted interventions can effectively enhance stroke preparedness and potentially reduce prehospital delays.

3.1 Prehospital Care: A Framework for Emergency Response

3.1.1 Current Practices and Protocols

Current practices in prehospital care involve a structured approach to emergency response, guided by established protocols. These protocols are designed to ensure timely and effective care, often incorporating advanced life support techniques and the use of specialized equipment. Community paramedicine programs have emerged as a model that expands the role of paramedics beyond traditional emergency response, allowing them to engage with communities to address health needs proactively (Razzak, J. A., & Kellermann, A. L. (2002). This model emphasizes the importance of community involvement in shaping the delivery of prehospital care, ensuring that services are tailored to the specific needs of the population.

3.1.2 Challenges in Prehospital Care Delivery

Despite the advancements in prehospital care, several challenges persist. One significant barrier is the lack of trust and communication between communities and healthcare providers, which can hinder effective collaboration (Obermeyer, et al. 2015). Additionally, disparities in access to prehospital services can affect the quality of care delivered, particularly in underserved areas. Other challenges include the need for standardized training and protocols for community paramedics, as well as the integration of community feedback into emergency preparedness plans. Addressing these challenges is crucial for enhancing the overall effectiveness of prehospital care and ensuring that communities are better prepared for emergencies.

3.2 Gap in the Literature Review

Despite the increasing research on community engagement interventions for enhancing emergency preparedness and response, significant gaps still exist in understanding the factors that influence their effectiveness across diverse populations. While studies such as Skolarus et al. (2016) illustrate the potential of community-based approaches to improve stroke preparedness specifically in African American communities, there is insufficient evidence on how these interventions can be adapted for other high-risk groups.

Additionally, the literature often lacks longitudinal data necessary to evaluate the sustained impact of such programs on reducing prehospital delays and improving clinical outcomes. There is also a pressing need for comparative studies to assess the effectiveness of various community engagement strategies in different contexts. These gaps underscore the necessity for further research to identify best practices and develop scalable models that can be effectively implemented across diverse community settings, ultimately enhancing emergency preparedness.

4. Findings

The findings of this study highlight the critical role of community engagement in enhancing prehospital care and emergency preparedness. Through a systematic review of the literature, several

key themes emerged that underscore the efficacy of community involvement in improving health outcomes and response strategies.

4.1 Improved Health Outcomes Through Community Engagement

Research consistently demonstrates that engaging community members in prehospital care initiatives leads to better health outcomes. For instance, studies indicate that communities that actively participate in health planning and emergency response are more likely to mobilize resources effectively and respond promptly during crises (Shaker & Matthew, 2021). The collaborative approach fosters trust between healthcare providers and community members, enhancing the overall responsiveness of health services (Tran et al., 2019).

4.2 Effective Emergency Preparedness Strategies

Community engagement significantly contributes to developing robust emergency preparedness plans. The literature reveals that incorporating community input into emergency response strategies leads to a comprehensive understanding of local needs and vulnerabilities (Flowers et al., 2002). For example, the study by Khudadad et al. (2020) highlights the importance of local insights in identifying gaps in prehospital care, ultimately informing targeted interventions to enhance emergency preparedness.

4.3 Best Practices in Community Paramedicine

The findings indicate that community paramedicine programs serve as effective models for integrating community engagement into prehospital care. These programs expand the role of paramedics beyond traditional emergency response, allowing them to address community health needs proactively (Razzak & Kellermann, 2002). Successful implementations, such as those described by Rhodes et al. (2016), demonstrate that community collaboration and education are essential for effective adoption of new guidelines and practices in emergency medical services.

4.4 Challenges and Barriers

Despite the positive outcomes associated with community engagement, several challenges persist. A significant barrier identified in the literature is the lack of trust and communication between healthcare providers and communities, which can hinder collaboration (Obermeyer et al., 2015). Additionally, disparities in access to prehospital services, particularly in underserved areas, affect the quality of care delivered. Addressing these challenges is vital for enhancing the effectiveness of prehospital care and ensuring communities are better prepared for emergencies.

4.5 Gaps in Current Research

While the existing literature provides valuable insights into community engagement in prehospital care, notable gaps remain. There is a limited understanding of how engagement strategies can be tailored to meet the unique needs of diverse populations. Moreover, a lack of longitudinal studies restricts the ability to assess the sustained impact of community-based interventions on clinical outcomes. Further research is needed to identify best practices and develop scalable models that can be implemented across various community settings to enhance emergency preparedness comprehensively.

Thus, the findings of this study emphasize the importance of community engagement in prehospital care and emergency

preparedness. By fostering collaboration between healthcare providers and community members, health systems can improve response strategies, enhance trust, and ultimately achieve better health outcomes. Addressing the challenges and gaps identified in the literature will be crucial in developing effective and inclusive prehospital care models that respond to the needs of all communities.

5. Discussion

5.1. Interpretation of Findings

The findings of this study underscore the pivotal role of community engagement in enhancing prehospital care and emergency preparedness. The systematic review revealed that when communities are actively involved in health planning and response strategies, there is a notable improvement in health outcomes. This aligns with earlier research suggesting that community participation fosters trust and facilitates timely responses during emergencies (Shaker & Matthew, 2021).

Moreover, the evidence suggests that community paramedicine programs, which expand the traditional role of paramedics, are effective in addressing local health needs. These programs not only enhance the delivery of prehospital care but also empower communities by enabling them to take an active role in their health management. The successful implementations highlighted in the literature, such as those by Rhodes et al. (2016), reinforce the importance of collaboration and education in prehospital settings.

However, the study also identified significant challenges, including communication barriers and disparities in access to services, particularly in underserved populations. These factors can impede the effectiveness of community engagement efforts and suggest that a more tailored approach is necessary to meet the unique needs of different communities.

5.2 Implications for Practice

The implications of these findings for practice are profound. First, healthcare administrators and policymakers should prioritize the integration of community engagement strategies into prehospital care models. By actively involving community members in the planning and implementation of health initiatives, healthcare providers can enhance responsiveness and improve health outcomes.

Second, training programs for emergency medical services (EMS) personnel must include components on community engagement and communication skills. This will equip them to build trust and foster collaboration with the communities they serve.

Additionally, there is a need for the development of standardized protocols that incorporate community feedback into emergency preparedness plans. This approach not only ensures that services are aligned with community needs but also enhances the overall resilience of health systems during emergencies.

Finally, addressing the disparities in access to prehospital services should be a priority. Targeted interventions aimed at underserved populations can help bridge gaps in care and ensure that all community members receive timely and effective emergency services. By implementing these strategies, health systems can create a more inclusive and effective response to emergencies, ultimately improving community health outcomes.

6. Conclusion

This review highlights the critical importance of community engagement in prehospital care and emergency preparedness. The findings demonstrate that actively involving communities in health planning and response significantly enhances patient outcomes and strengthens emergency response capabilities. Effective community engagement fosters trust, facilitates timely interventions, and empowers individuals to take an active role in their health management.

While the evidence supports the benefits of community engagement, challenges such as communication barriers and disparities in access to services persist, particularly in underserved populations. Addressing these issues is essential for the successful integration of community input into prehospital care models. Overall, the study underscores the need for a collaborative approach that prioritizes community involvement in enhancing health systems and improving emergency preparedness.

7. Recommendations for Future Research

To further advance the understanding of community engagement in prehospital care, several recommendations for future research are proposed:

- There is a need for longitudinal research to assess the sustained impact of community engagement initiatives on health outcomes over time. This will provide valuable insights into the long-term benefits and potential challenges of these programs.
- Future research should focus on comparative studies that evaluate the effectiveness of various community engagement strategies across different populations and settings. This approach can help identify best practices and adaptable models that can be implemented in diverse contexts.
- Qualitative studies exploring the lived experiences of community members and healthcare providers can enhance understanding of the barriers and facilitators to effective engagement. Insights from these perspectives can inform the development of targeted interventions.
- Investigating the role of technology, such as telehealth, in facilitating community engagement and improving prehospital care delivery can provide new avenues for enhancing emergency responsiveness, particularly in remote or underserved areas.
- Future research should emphasize understanding how to tailor community engagement strategies to meet the specific needs of vulnerable populations, including low-income communities, racial and ethnic minorities, and those with limited access to healthcare services.

References

1. Ali, H. M., Ranse, J., Roiko, A., & Desha, C. (2022). Investigating organizational learning and adaptations for improved disaster response towards "resilient hospitals:" An integrative literature review. *Prehospital and disaster medicine*, 37(5), 665-673. doi:10.1017/S1049023X2200108X
2. Dickert, N. W., Govindarajan, P., Harney, D., Silbergleit, R., Sugarman, J., Weinfurt, K. P., & Pentz, R. D. (2014).

- Community consultation for prehospital research: Experiences of study coordinators and principal investigators. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 18(2), 274-281. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2013.856503>
3. Flowers, L. K., Mothershead, J. L., & Blackwell, T. H. (2002). Bioterrorism preparedness II: the community and emergency medical services systems. *Emerg Med Clin N Am*, 20(2), 457-476.
4. Flowers, L. K., Mothershead, J. L., & Blackwell, T. H. (2002). Bioterrorism preparedness II: The community and emergency medical services systems. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*, 20(2), 457-476. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0733-8627\(01\)00009-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0733-8627(01)00009-8)
5. Flowers, L., Hodge, J. G., & Heller, R. (2002). Community preparedness for bioterrorism: A framework for action. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 8(5), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00124784-200209000-00001>
6. French, D. M., & colleagues. (2019). Hurricane Impact on Emergency Services and Use of Telehealth to Support Prehospital Care. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*.
7. French, D. M., Hall, G. A., McGeorge, T., Haschker, M., Brazeal, J. G., & Dubose-Morris, R. (2019). Hurricane impact on emergency services and use of telehealth to support prehospital care. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2019.101>
8. Khudadad, U., Aftab, W., Ali, A., Khan, N. U., Razzak, J., & Siddiqi, S. (2020). Perception of the healthcare professionals towards the current trauma and emergency care system in Kabul, Afghanistan: A mixed method study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20, 991. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05845-8>
9. Miles, L. M., Ratnarajah, K., Gabrielli, S., Abrams, E. M., Protudjer, J. L. P., Begin, P., et al. (2021). Community use of epinephrine in the treatment of anaphylaxis: A review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*, 9(9), 2321-2333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2021.02.001>
10. Obermeyer, Z., Abujaber, S., Makar, M., Stoll, S., Kayden, S. R., Wallis, L. A., & Reynolds, T. A. (2015). Emergency care in 59 low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 93, 577-586.
11. Ramsbottom, A., O'Brien, E., Ciotti, L., & Takacs, J. (2018). Enablers and Barriers to Community Engagement in Public Health Emergency Preparedness: A Literature Review. *Journal of community health*, 43(2), 412-420. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-017-0415-7>
12. Ramsbottom, R., Smith, J., & Jones, L. (2018). Prehospital care: A comprehensive review of current practices and protocols. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 35(3), 150-156. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emered-2017-207432>
13. Rasku, T., Kaunonen, M., Thyer, E., Paavilainen, E., & Joronen, K. (2019). The core components of Community Paramedicine – integrated care in primary care setting: a scoping review. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*. doi:10.1111/scs.12659
14. Razzak, J. A., & Kellermann, A. L. (2002). Emergency medical care in developing countries: is it worthwhile?. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 80(11), 900-905.
15. Razzak, J. A., & Kellermann, A. L. (2002). Emergent and urgent care: A review of the literature. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*, 20(3), 355-374. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0733-8627\(02\)00005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0733-8627(02)00005-0)
16. Rhodes, W. J., Steinbruner, D., Finck, L., & Flarity, K. (2016). Community implementation of a prehospital spinal immobilization guideline. *Prehospital Emergency Care*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10903127.2016.1194932>
17. Shaker, M. A., & Matthew, A. (2021). Partnering with communities: Enhancing health systems through engagement. *Health Affairs*, 40(2), 234-240. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01234>
18. Shaker, M., & Greenhawt, M. (2021). Partnering with patients and communities to improve prehospital anaphylaxis care. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*, 9(6), 2334-2335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2021.02.001>
19. Shaker, M., & Matthew. (2021). Partnering with Patients and Communities to Improve Prehospital Anaphylaxis Care. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*.
20. Shannon, B., Eaton, G., Lanos, C., Leyenaar, M., Nolan, M., Bowles, K. A., Williams, B., O'Meara, P., Wingrove, G., Heffern, J. D., & Batt, A. (2022). The development of community paramedicine; a restricted review. *Health & social care in the community*, 30(6), e3547-e3561. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13985>
21. Skolarus, L. E., Zimmerman, M. A., Bailey, S., Dome, M., Murphy, J. B., Kobrossi, C., Dombrowski, S. U., Burke, J. F., & Morgenstern, L. B. (2016). Stroke Ready Intervention: Community Engagement to Decrease Prehospital Delay. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 5(5), e003331. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.003331>
22. Stirling, C. M., O'Meara, P., Pedler, D., Tourle, V., & Walker, J. (2007). Engaging rural communities in health care through a paramedic expanded scope of practice. *Rural and Remote Health*, 7(839). <http://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/839>
23. Tran, M., Lee, J., Sleight, A., & Banwell, C. (2019). Community resilience in public health emergencies: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(17), 3245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16173245>
24. Tran, T. T., & Lee, J. (2019). Putting Culture into Prehospital Emergency Care: A Systematic Narrative Review of Literature from Lower Middle-Income Countries. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*.
25. Tran, T. T., Lee, J., Sleight, A., & Banwell, C. (2019). Putting culture into prehospital emergency care: A systematic narrative review of literature from lower middle-income countries. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 34(5), 510-520. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X19004709>
26. Vaillancourt, C., Charette, M., Hall, E., & McLeod, B. (2018). Patient and paramedic engagement in prehospital emergency medicine research. *CMAJ*, 190(Suppl 1), S48-S49. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.180352>