



Role of Simulation Training in Anesthesia and Operation Theatre Education: Current Applications and Clinical Impact

Sarita Devi Chettri¹, Surajit Chanda^{2*}, Bhawana Sharma³

¹PG Student, Om Sterling Global University,

²Microbiologist, Cachar Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Silchar,

³Assistant Professor, Sikkim Manipal College of Allied and Healthcare Professions.

*Corresponding Author

Surajit Chanda

Email: surajitnew@gmail.com

Article History

Received: 13.04.2025

Revised: 10.05.2026

Accepted: 27.07.2026

Published: 22.08.2026

Abstract: - Simulation-based training has become a vital part of modern anesthesia and operation theatre education, offering a safe setting to build clinical skills without risking patient safety. This review explores current uses, clinical benefits, and challenges of simulation in anesthesia and OT training, with a focus on its importance for anesthesiologists and OT technologists. From basic task trainers to advanced simulators, virtual and augmented reality, AI-assisted tools, and in-situ simulations are increasingly adopted in healthcare training. In anesthesia, simulation supports repeated practice of airway management, CPR, regional anesthesia, equipment handling, and crisis management. In the OT, it educates on sterile techniques, infection control, instrument handling, emergency response, teamwork, communication, and interdisciplinary crisis management. Evidence indicates that simulation enhances both technical and non-technical skills, such as procedural competence, confidence, teamwork, crisis decision-making, and readiness for high-stakes scenarios. For technologists, it provides important opportunities to develop skills in setting up anesthesia workstations, managing airway and emergency equipment, monitoring, organizing instruments, troubleshooting during surgery, and collaborating across disciplines. However, barriers such as high costs, limited access, shortage of trained faculty, technical issues, lack of standardized curricula and assessments, and logistical hurdles hamper implementation. Therefore, simulation should complement, not replace, supervised clinical training. Anticipated future advancements with AI, immersive VR/AR, telesimulation, competency evaluation, and affordable models will likely broaden its educational and safety benefits. Overall, simulation-based training is a powerful method to enhance clinical skills, teamwork, patient safety, and ongoing professional development in anesthesia and OT practice.

Keywords: Simulation-based training; High-fidelity simulation; Virtual reality; Artificial intelligence; Anesthesia technologists; Operation theatre technologists.



This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license



Cite this article:

Chettri, S. D., Chanda, S., Sharma, B., (2026). Role of Simulation Training in Anesthesia and Operation Theatre Education: Current Applications and Clinical Impact. *ISAR Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 4(8), 10-17.

Introduction

The evolving demands of healthcare education have led to a greater reliance on simulation-based learning, particularly in Anesthesia and Operation Theatre (OT) settings. Its adoption has been driven by concerns related to patient safety, the need to manage increasingly complex procedures, a competency-oriented educational framework, and the limited availability of supervised clinical learning opportunities [1,2]. In contrast to classical hands-on learning methods that rely heavily on patient interactions, simulation-based training allows medical trainees to gain practical experience and improve performance in a risk-free educational setting.

Simulation-based training has improved markedly to realistic simulation devices from simple mannequin-based models and skill trainer to a high technology system featuring advanced patients' stimulators, Virtual Reality (VR), Artificial intelligence (AI), Augmented reality (AR) and e-learning system [3,4]. By replicating real-world clinical situations, these technologies enable learners to develop a broad range of skills, including procedural proficiency, team coordination, communication, decision making, leadership, and emergency management [5]. Simulation plays an important role in modern anesthesiology, it is commonly used in airway maintenance,

Cardiac resuscitation, conduction anesthesia, intraoperative emergency management, and equipment orientation [1,6]. Likewise, simulation-based training provides proper orientation in surgical asepsis, diseases prevention measures, equipment handling, procedural positioning, team-based healthcare delivery and crisis readiness [2,7].

This technique offers multiple advantages for OT and anesthesia technologists, from preoperative anesthesia workstation preparation, crash cart organization, cardiac monitor setup, instrument preparation to management of intraoperative complications which are crucial for promoting smooth workflow and ensuring patient safety during operation [8]. This review aims to discuss the various approaches of simulation used in OT and anesthesia education, their present-day use, clinical impact, drawback, and future directions, with special focus on their value to OT and anesthesia professionals.

Types of Simulation Uses in Anaesthesia and Operation Theatre Education

A wide range of simulation techniques and technologies are developed in healthcare training and education, which differ in their complexity, accuracy and educational value. Choosing the right method is based on training goals, availability of resources and level of training [3].

Sl. No.	Types	Description	Educational application	Advantages	Limitations	Ref.
1	LOW FIDELITY SIMULATION	Simple mannequins/task trainers for basic procedural and psychomotor skills, without physiological realism.	Peripheral venous cannulation, airway trainers, urinary catheterization, basic CPR, suturing, instrument handling.	Cost-effective, ideal for beginners, builds psychomotor coordination and procedural confidence.	Limited realism, no physiological feedback, unsuitable for advanced decision making or crisis scenarios.	[1,3,16, 21]
2	MEDIUM FIDELITY SIMULATION	Reproduces select physiological signals, such as heart/breath sounds, pulse generation and monitoring parameters.	Anesthetic induction, patient monitoring, mechanical ventilation, vital sign interpretation and perioperative assessment.	Bridges basic and high-fidelity training, improves clinical reasoning and integration of skills with assessment.	Cannot fully replicate complex emergencies or dynamics physiological responses	[1,8,21]
3	HIGH FIDELITY SIMULATION	Computer-controlled mannequins replicating physiological responses, drug effects, and perioperative emergencies.	Difficult airway management, CPR, malignant hyperthermia, obstetric emergencies, crisis resource management and trauma scenarios.	Provides realistic clinical scenarios, builds technical skills, teamwork, communication, leadership and patient safety.	High installation/maintenance cost, requires dedicated facilities, trained instructors and technical support.	[1,5,6,16,18,19]

4	VIRTUAL REALITY AND AUGMENTED REALITY	Immersive digital technologies creating virtual or digitally enhanced clinical environments for training and visualization.	Airway management, ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia, anatomy learning, minimally invasive/robotic surgery and OT orientation.	Highly immersive, support self-directed learnings, spatial understandings and unlimited risk free repetition.	High equipment cost, limited tactile feedback, possible cybersickness, less interpersonal interaction.	[3,4,8]
5	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-ASSISTED SIMULATION	AI-integrated system offering adaptive learning, automated assessment, performance analytics and personalized feedback.	Competency assessment, individualized learning pathways, automated debriefing, predictive analytics and scenarios customization.	Delivers objective evaluation, identifies competency gaps, personalizes learning and supports competency-based education.	Still evolving, limited clinical validations, concerns around data privacy, algorithm transparency and implementation cost.	[3,8,13]

Application of Simulation in Anesthesia Education

Modern anesthesiology training has come to rely heavily on simulation-based education, largely because it creates a space to practice high risk procedures and manage critical events without any threat to patient safety. Procedures such as airway management, regional blocks, and rapid sequence induction carry real consequences when performed incorrectly, yet trainees must still reach a baseline level of proficiency before ever attempting them on an actual patient, a requirement that simulation is uniquely positioned to fulfil. Given the inherently unpredictable nature of anesthetic practice, where a stable case can shift into a life-threatening emergency within minutes, professionals must combine strong technical proficiency with rapid decision making and effective teamwork under pressure. These are competencies that are not always reliably developed through clinical exposure alone, since real emergencies occur too infrequently and unpredictably to serve as a dependable training ground. Simulation helps close this gap by giving trainees repeated, realistic practice within a controlled environment setting, allowing theoretical knowledge acquired in classroom and textbooks to be gradually translated into applied, hands-on clinical skill before it is ever tested in a live OT [1, 2].

Airway Management and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Training

A patient unable to maintain a patent airway is one of the most critical challenges faced by the Anaesthesiologist inside the OT, failure to secure and maintain airway can suddenly lead to severe hypoxia, neurological damages and can even take the life of the patient. Simulation training plays a vital role in providing trainees with more opportunities to practice common and modern airway techniques repeatedly before performing them on patients [1,6].

Difficult case scenarios can also be created for the trainees to make them comfortable with the airway management algorithms and to train themselves for critical decision making to save their lives. Dedicated skilled trainers or simulators are frequently used to train in invasive airway access, scope-assisted intubation, intubation with direct laryngoscopy, supraglottic airway insertion, and manual ventilation [1,14]. According to recent studies, this method significantly improves procedural accuracy, allows trainees to develop their skills smoothly and with the correct process without compromising patient safety, maintains closed-loop communication among team members, and helps build confidence. Constant training also helps keep airway skills sharp and helps the team to follow standard medical rules [1,6]. Whereas Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) is an acute emergency intervention designed to maintain coronary and cerebral perfusion during cardiac arrest. CPR and advanced life support are among the most universally adopted modalities of simulation in healthcare education.

This method provides an excellent framework for competence development and maintenance. Trainees can learn Chest compression, airway management techniques, the use of defibrillation machines, the correct use of medications, and post-resuscitation care in authentic clinical settings, which provide timely feedback on correct hand placement and chest compression depth, adequate ventilation, and compliance with resuscitation guidelines. Recent evidence syntheses have demonstrated enhanced knowledge retention, procedural skills, and team coordination within medical professionals with the use of simulation-based resuscitation training. Frequent training may also improve self-preparedness and build confidence to act during cardiac emergencies [6].

Regional Anesthesia, Equipment and Monitoring Training

Cases of regional anaesthesia (RA) are increasing rapidly, and the use of ultrasound machines called ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia has created evolving training challenges for anaesthesia trainees. The simulation method is essential for RA because it helps trainees with proper positioning of the ultrasound probe, real-time needle tracking, interpretation of ultrasound anatomy, motor coordination skills and intra procedural decision making. This method uses task trainers, sonographic training models, and VR systems that enable learners to practice correct needle placement and sonographic anatomy identification without real patient involvement [4,13].

The simulation method also helps reduce procedural stress while enhancing technical proficiency and trainee confidence. Recent innovations in extended reality technologies have significantly improved RA education by providing a realistic visual representation of anatomical structures and clinical pathways. This type of development may promote learning efficiency and provide safer clinical practice [13]. Recent anesthetic practice relies extensively on specialized equipment and patient monitoring systems. There are chances of direct patient complications due to improper knowledge of anesthesia workstations and monitoring devices, types of ventilators, syringe pump, and other patient monitoring devices, which can contribute to adverse patient outcomes.

Simulation provides a safe clinical environment for equipment preparation training, identifying and correcting problems with anesthesia equipment and patient management, inspecting and testing anesthesia machines before use, recognizing different alarms, and assessing physiological monitoring. Learners can also practice identifying anesthesia equipment malfunctions and taking corrective measures without causing harm to the patient. Simulation-based equipment training is highly beneficial for anesthesia technologists and trainees because it improves understanding of anesthesia workstation functions, the preparation of the emergency airway cart, difficult-airway equipment, and other patient-monitoring devices. Participation in interprofessional simulation scenarios also enhance collaboration with anesthesiologist, surgeons, nurses, and OT personnel [8].

Application of Simulation in Operation Theatre Education

OT is a complex perioperative environment where safe patient care requires seamless teamwork among healthcare professionals, including, surgeons, anesthesiologist, nurses and OT and anesthesia technologist. Individual clinical competence alone cannot guarantee patient safety, particularly in a complex perioperative environment where

coordinated team performance and adherence to the safety guidelines is required. For all this task the simulation technique provides a platform for the learners to adapt these capabilities without compromising patient safety [2,3].

Technical and non- technical skills within the OT environment have improved in recent years because of simulation- based models which helps anesthesiologists work effectively with surgeons, nurses, and other perioperative teams of OT, especially during emergencies. Learners can perform in real-life clinical scenarios and exercise regularly, enabling trainees to respond to critical situations in an environment that closely replicates real-world surgical practices [2,9].

Sterile Technique, Infection Control and Equipment Handling

OT is a highly specialized environment of the hospital that follows strict sterilization and infection control guidelines, and it is the vital responsibilities of the OT member to maintain the sterility . Lack of adherence to this protocol may highly affect patients' safety which may include infection of the surgical site, sometimes this can lead to prolonged hospital stay which may result in high healthcare cost and poor clinical outcomes that can hamper the overall health condition of the patients.

Proper knowledge of the sterilization procedure is important including surgical hand washing techniques, gowning, gloving, sterile draping and how to maintain sterile fields in a perioperative environment. All these techniques makes easy with the help of simulation trainings which allows early recognition and rectification of errors if not addressed go unrecognized during clinical skill training [2]. This skills training can also be used to train infection control guidelines, operating room access control, sterility assurance of surgical instruments before and after surgery and adherence to aseptic technique. Frequent exposure to these scenarios can help trainees to promote the consistent use of appropriate, evidence-based clinical practice and patient safety intraoperatively [10].

Use of surgical instruments in the OT is common but understanding the use and the method of sterilization of these instruments is important for the personnel who work inside OT ,which needs good clinical skills that are made more easier with the help of simulation methods. Inadequate knowledge of the instrument including, electrosurgical equipment, surgical suction machines, patient positioning aids and patient monitoring systems may lead to delay surgery, technical errors and increased the risk to patient safety.

The model based learning can create opportunities for the trainees to identify, arrange, use and identify and resolve equipment if malfunctions occur before being exposed to

real situations. These surgical instruments handling can improve correct identification of the instruments, also decrease arrangement errors and improve workflow efficiency during surgical procedures [9]. Equipment related emergencies may happen sometimes but it requires instant and effective team coordination. To deal with such critical situations the model based skills allow OT personnel to exercise and address equipment malfunctions and other technical failures in a simulation based setting without compromising the patient safety [8].

Emergency Preparedness and Crisis Management

Intraoperative emergencies that include cardiac arrest, malignant hyperthermia, hemorrhage, severe allergic reaction, airway-related emergencies, fire incidents, and sudden equipment failure occur infrequently, but they need instant recognition and rapid management. Simulation based emergency drills allow OT members to practice clinical responses and make themselves ready for high risk situations in a setting that closely resembles real and safe clinical training without risking the patient. Frequent exposure to these models helps OT Personnel to improve understanding of emergency protocol, clarifies each team member's responsibilities and duties so that everyone understands their role during patient care, especially in complex or emergency situations [1,5].

High-fidelity simulation is highly beneficial for critical event management training, as it replicates physiological responses and evolving clinical conditions. As a result, learners are able to develop clinical decision-making skills, effective resource utilization, and improve their ability to make timely clinical decisions in scenarios that closely mirror real-life emergencies [5].

Previous studies have demonstrated that this type of crisis training strengthens clinical readiness to manage critical events, develop self-confidence and improves emergency response efficiency in real- world clinical settings. These positive outcomes ultimately support high-quality perioperative care and improved patient outcomes [1].

Clinical Impact and Advantages of Simulation-Based Training

Healthcare education has undergone a notable shift with the adoption of simulation-based training, which allows learners to build clinical competence in a controlled setting rather than practicing directly on patients. This approach reduces risk during the early stage of skill acquisition while still exposing trainees to realistic clinical scenarios. A growing body of evidence links simulation training to a range of educational and clinical benefits, including gains in procedural competence, improved non-technical abilities such as communication and crisis management, greater learner confidence, stronger interdisciplinary collaboration,

and better overall patient safety outcomes [1,2,5]. As this evidence has accumulated, simulation has moved from a supplementary teaching tool to a core component of anaesthesia and OT education, now embedded across undergraduate curricula, postgraduate residency training, and ongoing professional development programs.

Improvement in Technical Competency and Development of Non-Technical Skills

Technical skill enhancement stands out as one of the clearest benefits of simulation-based training. Under conventional clinical education models, skill development is often uneven, and trainees are limited by which patients and procedures are available during their rotations, leading to inconsistent exposure among learners. Simulation addresses this variability by offering a standardized platform where every trainee can engage in repeated, deliberate practice regardless of clinical caseload [14,15].

A wide range of procedural domains have been shown to benefit from simulation based practice, including airway management, vascular access, regional anaesthesia, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, equipment handling, and response to emergency situations. Rather than encountering these procedures infrequently during clinical rotations, learners can practice them repeatedly in the simulated setting until a defined level of proficiency is reached. This capacity for repetition is central to building both psychomotor skill and procedural accuracy, as mastery of hands-on techniques typically depends on sustained, hands-on practice rather than isolated exposure [1,6].

High-fidelity simulation, in particular, offers distinct advantages over lower-fidelity methods because it closely mimics real clinical scenarios, including realistic physiological responses to trainee actions. Combined with immediate, structured feedback on performance, this level of realism helps bridge the gap between simulated practice and actual clinical application, supporting more effective transfer of both knowledge and hands-on skills into real-world settings [5].

Clinical competence alone does not fully account for patient safety outcomes, a substantial proportion of adverse events in healthcare can be traced not to gaps in medical knowledge, but to breakdowns in communication, teamwork, leadership, and situational awareness. Collectively known as non-technical skills, these competencies are particularly important in anesthesia and OT environments, where success depends on multidisciplinary teams coordinating effectively, often under significant time pressure and clinical uncertainty [2,3].

Simulation-based scenarios create a realistic setting in which healthcare professionals can actively practice communication strategies, leadership behaviours, structured decision making, and crisis management, skills that are

difficult to develop through classroom teaching alone. When these scenarios are designed around team based tasks, participants are pushed to coordinate their actions, exchange information clearly under pressure, and sustain situational awareness as clinical situations evolve in complexity [2]. Evidence from several systematic reviews consistently points to measurable gains in teamwork performance and communication resulting from simulation-based education. This is especially significant within the operating room environment, where patient safety depends heavily on how effectively team members collaborate under pressure [2,9].

Enhancement of Patient Safety, Confidence Building and Skill Retention

Improving patient safety is widely regarded as one of the central goals underlying simulation-based healthcare education. Because it creates a space where learners can make mistakes, receive feedback, and correct their approach without any risk of harm to a real patient, it substantially reduces the safety risk that would otherwise be associated with early stage training in a live clinical environment [1,10]. Participation in these types of training has been linked to a range of clinically meaningful outcomes, including greater adherence to established clinical guidelines, more effective crisis management, and earlier recognition of patient deterioration. Simulation also gives healthcare teams a rare opportunity to rehearse coordinated responses to critical, low frequency emergencies, such as cardiac arrest, malignant hyperthermia, severe haemorrhage, and airway obstruction situation that demands precise, well-practiced teamwork but occur too infrequently in clinical practice for teams to build confidence through real world exposure alone [1,5].

The emergence of in-situ simulation has further broadened the role that simulation plays within patient safety initiatives. Unlike simulation runs in dedicated training centers, in-situ simulation takes place directly within the actual clinical environment, the same OT and equipment used in real patient care, allowing it to surface problems specific to that particular setting and team. This approach enables healthcare organizations to identify latent safety threats, workflow inefficiencies, and equipment related vulnerabilities before they contribute to real adverse events, functioning as a proactive safety check rather than just a training exercise [10].

Ensuring that learners retain knowledge and skills over time remains a persistent challenge in healthcare education, as competencies acquired during initial training can fade without ongoing reinforcement. Simulation helps address this by offering repeated, structured opportunities for practice rather than a single point of exposure. This repeated engagement with clinical scenarios reinforces memory retention and supports the development of competency that

endures over the longer term, rather than declining shortly after initial training [12].

A consistent finding across simulation training research is a positive association with learner confidence, particularly in managing emergency situations and performing procedures with which trainees have limited prior experience. This increased confidence may translate into more decisive decision making and reduced hesitation when the same situations are encountered in real clinical practice. It is worth noting, however, that confidence on its own is not a reliable indicator of ability, it should always be interpreted alongside demonstrated competence and objective performance assessment, since confidence that outpaces actual skill can itself pose a risk [1].

One approach that has shown particular promise in this area is rapid-cycle deliberate practice, in which learners repeatedly perform a task while receiving immediate feedback and correction after each attempt. This tight feedback loop has been associated with improvements in both the initial acquisition of skills and their retention over time among healthcare professionals [12].

Conclusion

Simulation-based training has firmly established itself as an essential pillar of modern anaesthesia and OT education. Its central strength lies in providing a safe, controlled, and learner-centered environment in which healthcare professionals can build clinical competence without unnecessarily placing patients at risk. Its integration into educational curricula has meaningfully expanded opportunities across multiple domains from technical skills acquisition and crisis management training to communication development, teamwork enhancement, and patient safety education [1,2].

Airway management, CPR, RA, equipment handling, and crisis response during the perioperative period are core areas in which anaesthesiology has clearly benefited from simulation-based methods. A parallel pattern holds in OT training, where learners build competence in sterile technique, instrument handling, emergency preparedness, workflow coordination, and interdisciplinary collaboration through the same simulation approach. The weight given to these particular applications is not incidental communication breakdown, technical errors, and poorly managed crises consistently rank among the most common contributors to adverse outcomes in the perioperative settings, making preparation in exactly these areas a priority rather than an afterthought [1,2].

The weight of current evidence points towards simulation-based learning strengthening both technical and non-technical competencies simultaneously, while fostering greater confidence, preparedness and collaborative capacity

among healthcare professionals. For OT and anaesthesia technologists specifically, simulation offers a distinct avenue to build practical skills, reinforce team coordination, and develop a clearer understanding of their role within the broader multidisciplinary surgical environment [8].

Despite these substantial benefits, broader adoption of simulation-based education remains constrained by persistent barriers, infrastructure costs, uneven accessibility, gaps in faculty development, and lack of standardization across programs. Overcoming these obstacles will be critical to ensuring that high-quality simulation training becomes equitably available across diverse healthcare settings, rather than remaining concentrated in well- resourced institutions [3].

Looking ahead, ongoing advancements in artificial intelligence, VR and AR, telesimulation, and competency-based assessment systems are poised to further reshape the landscape of healthcare training. As these technologies mature, simulation is likely to assume an even more central role in preparing anesthesia and OT professionals for the evolving demands of modern clinical practice [3,4,13]. Taken together, simulation-based education stands as a powerful and continually evolving strategy, one that enhances clinical competence, reinforces patient safety, and supports ongoing professional development throughout a clinician's career. Its sustained integration into these training programs will remain essential to cultivating a skilled, adaptable healthcare workforce capable of delivering safe and effective care amid an increasingly complex clinical landscape. As simulation technologies and pedagogical approaches continue to mature, their role is likely to expand further, not replacing clinical experiences, but strengthening the foundation on which it is built.

Aknoledgement

Grammarly was used to correct the grammatical error.

References

1. Tayeb, B. O., Shubbak, F. A., Doais, K. S., Yamani, A. N., Dhaifallah, D. G., Alsayed, E. F., ... & Boker, A. M. (2023). Uses of simulation-based education for anesthesiology training, certification and recertification: A scoping review. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 18(5), 1118-1123. doi:10.1016/j.jtumed.2023.03.015.
2. Rathore, A., Lennox, L., Bickerton, M., Baliga, S., & Gardner, T. (2024). 201 Interdisciplinary Simulation Based Training for the Operating Room Team-a Systematic Review. *British Journal of Surgery*, 111(Supplement_6), znae163-612.
3. Komasa, N. (2024). Transformative landscape of anesthesia education: simulation, AI integration, and learner-centric reforms: a narrative review. *Anesthesia Research*, 1(1), 34-43. doi:10.3390/anesthres1010005.
4. Viglialoro, R. M., Condino, S., Turini, G., Carbone, M., Ferrari, V., & Gesi, M. (2021). Augmented reality, mixed reality, and hybrid approach in healthcare simulation: a systematic review. *Applied Sciences*, 11(5), 2338.
5. Zeng, Q., Wang, K., Liu, W. X., Zeng, J. Z., Li, X. L., Zhang, Q. F., ... & Xu, W. M. (2023). Efficacy of high-fidelity simulation in advanced life support training: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), 664.
6. Su, Y., & Zeng, Y. (2023). Simulation based training versus non-simulation based training in anesthesiology: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Heliyon*, 9(8).
7. Park, J. O., Lee-Jayaram, J., Sato, E., Eto, Y., Kahili-Heede, M., Hirayama, K., & Berg, B. W. (2023). A scoping review of remote facilitation during simulation-based healthcare education. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), 592.
8. Chen, C., Yang, S., Xiong, X., Shi, Y., Zhong, X., & Shi, J. (2024). Enhancing anesthesia education and clinical practice: A comprehensive review of gasman simulation software. *Journal of medical education and curricular development*, 11, 23821205241283804.
9. Shahrezaei, A., Sohani, M., Taherkhani, S., & Zarghami, S. Y. (2024). The impact of surgical simulation and training technologies on general surgery education. *BMC medical education*, 24(1), 1297.
10. Gómez-Pérez, V., Escrivá Peiró, D., Sancho-Cantus, D., & Casaña Mohedo, J. (2023). In Situ Simulation: A Strategy to Restore Patient Safety in Intensive Care Units after the COVID-19 Pandemic? Systematic Review. *Healthcare*, 11(2), 263. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11020263
11. DCosta, S., Zadow, G., Reidlinger, D. P., Cox, G. R., Hudson, C., Ingabire, A., & Stokes-Parish, J. (2024). The impact of moulage on learners' experience in simulation-based education and training: systematic review. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 6.
12. de Oliveira, H. C., Campos, J. F., de Souza, L. C., de Bakker, G. B., Ferreira, L. L. B., da Silva, R. N., ... & Brandão, M. A. G. (2024). Theoretical, conceptual, and operational aspects in simulation training with rapid cycle deliberate practice: An integrative review. *Simulation in Healthcare*, 19(5), e91-e98.
13. Shimada, K., Inokuchi, R., Ohigashi, T., Iwagami, M., Tanaka, M., Goshō, M., & Tamiya, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence-assisted interventions for perioperative

- anesthetic management: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC anesthesiology*, 24(1), 306.
14. Gaba, D. M. (2004). The future vision of simulation in health care. *BMJ quality & safety*, 13(suppl 1), i2-i10.
15. Panchal, A. R., Bartos, J. A., Cabañas, J. G., Donnino, M. W., Drennan, I. R., Hirsch, K. G., ... & Berg, K. M. (2020). Part 3: adult basic and advanced life support: 2020 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*, 142(16_Suppl_2), S366-S468. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000916.
16. Barry Issenberg, S., McGaghie, W. C., Petrusa, E. R., Lee Gordon, D., & Scalese, R. J. (2005). Features and uses of high-fidelity medical simulations that lead to effective learning: a BEME systematic review. *Medical teacher*, 27(1), 10-28.
17. McGaghie, W. C., Issenberg, S. B., Petrusa, E. R., & Scalese, R. J. (2010). A critical review of simulation-based medical education research: 2003–2009. *Medical education*, 44(1), 50-63.
18. Gaba, D. M. (2004). The future vision of simulation in health care. *BMJ quality & safety*, 13(suppl 1), i2-i10.
19. Lorello, G. R., Cook, D. A., Johnson, R. L., & Brydges, R. (2014). Simulation-based training in anaesthesiology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British journal of anaesthesia*, 112(2), 231-245.
20. Cook, D. A., Hamstra, S. J., Brydges, R., Zendejas, B., Szostek, J. H., Wang, A. T., ... & Hatala, R. (2013). Comparative effectiveness of instructional design features in simulation-based education: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical teacher*, 35(1), e867-e898.
21. Motola, I., Devine, L. A., Chung, H. S., Sullivan, J. E., & Issenberg, S. B. (2013). Simulation in healthcare education: a best evidence practical guide. AMEE Guide No. 82. *Medical teacher*, 35(10), e1511-e1530.
22. Lateef, F. (2010). Simulation-based learning: Just like the real thing. *Journal of emergencies, trauma and shock*, 3(4), 348.