



The win-win-win papakonstantinidis model: the maths

Papakonstantinidis LA*

Professor Emeritus University of Peloponnese.

*Corresponding Author

Papakonstantinidis LA

Article History

Received: 01.04.2026

Accepted: 29.04.2026

Published: 21.05.2026

Abstract: This paper looks at the Win-Win-Win Papakonstantinidis Model as a new addition to classical game theory and Nash bargaining principles. Unlike traditional negotiation methods that focus only on the interests of two parties, this model introduces a third important element, the Community, into the bargaining process. The study examines how to reach lasting agreements through a balance involving economic benefit, social welfare, and environmental responsibility. Key ideas such as the "sensitization process," the "Angel's Point," behavioral elasticity, and socially acceptable choices are explored both mathematically and philosophically. The paper also covers the model's uses in sustainable tourism, regional development, environmental management, labor economics, organizational governance, and public administration driven by artificial intelligence. By incorporating ethics, empathy, and collective well-being into decision-making, the model provides a solid approach for achieving socially sustainable and economically stable results in today's governance and development systems.

Keywords: Win-Win-Win Model, Papakonstantinidis Theory, Game Theory, Sustainable Development, Community Welfare, Behavioral Economics, Nash Bargaining, Social Equilibrium, Regional Development, Ethical Governance.

Cite this article:

Papakonstantinidis L. A., (2026). The Win-Win-Win Papakonstantinidis Model in Konitsa with a focus on LEAN MANAGEMENT. *ISAR Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 4(5), 14-18.

Introduction

The **Win-Win-Win Papakonstantinidis Model** is an evolutionary framework in game theory, strategic negotiation, and behavioral economics

While traditional negotiation models focus on bilateral agreements (how two parties can maximize their mutual gains), this model introduces a third, decisive player into the mathematical and strategic mix: **the Community**.

1. The Core Philosophy: Moving Beyond Two Dimensions

In standard game theory—such as the classic **Nash Equilibrium**—the objective is a bilateral "win-win" scenario. Players ask

themselves: *"What is the best move for me, given that my opponent is also trying to maximize their own payoff?"*

The Papakonstantinidis Model argues that in the 21st century, this two-party framework is fundamentally incomplete. If an investor (Party A) and a local government (Party B) strike an agreement that maximizes their own wealth but degrades the environment or creates social inequality, the agreement suffers from negative externalities. It is inherently unstable and unsustainable.

The model reshapes the question to:

*"What is the best for me, taking into account that the other party thinks like me, **and** that the Community as a whole must also maximize its utility?"*



2. The Three Poles of the Model

To achieve a sustainable equilibrium, a negotiation must satisfy three distinct victories:

The Winner	Stakeholder Role	Primary Objective
Win 1: Party A	The Proposer / Investor / Seller	Economic profit, growth, or goal achievement.
Win 2: Party B	The Responder / Local Authority /Project viability, balanced governance, or transactional satisfaction. Buyer	
Win 3: The Community	The Social Total / Environment /Society	/Social cohesion, environmental sustainability, and ethical justice (The "C" Factor).

3. Key Concepts and Mechanisms

The "Sensitization" Process

The model is unique because it heavily relies on behavioral economics. For a true win-win-win to occur, the primary bargainers must undergo a **sensitization process**. This means moving from purely selfish, individualistic strategies to socially conscious ones. This is achieved through:

- **Information Symmetry:** Eliminating knowledge gaps between outside forces (like corporate investors) and local citizens.
- **Empathy:** Shifting perspectives so that community welfare is treated as an asset rather than an afterthought.

The "Angel's Point"

In traditional economics, **Pareto Efficiency** implies a state where no one can be made better off without making someone else worse off. The Papakonstantinidis model introduces social qualifiers—like equality, ethics, and justice—to aim for a higher marginal equilibrium. The theoretical state of perfect harmony where the goals of the investor, the local project, and the community align seamlessly is called the **"Angel's Point."**

Prevention of Collusion

In many regional development scenarios, a powerful company and a corrupted or desperate local authority might form a "collusion" to exploit local resources for quick capital. In this framework, the **Third Win** acts as a structural anchor. It mathematically alters the "disagreement point" (the point at which a negotiation collapses), ensuring that if the community loses, the entire deal is invalidated.

4. Real-World Applications

The model is increasingly applied to modern frameworks of **Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)**, **ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance)** criteria, and **Endogenous Regional Development** (building growth from within a community rather than imposing it from the outside).

It is frequently utilized by local governments to mediate complex regional projects—such as navigating tourism, infrastructure, or green energy installations in rural or ecologically fragile zones—ensuring that local citizens hold tangible bargaining power.

The Win-Win-Win Papakonstantinidis Model is an extension of John Nash's bargaining theory. While traditional game theory focuses on a two-pole equilibrium (Win-Win), this model introduces a third "pole": **the Community**,

The mathematics of the model shift the focus from individual utility maximization to a tripartite equilibrium that includes social welfare and ethical "sensitization."

1. The Core Extension: From 2 to 3 Poles

In a standard Nash bargaining solution, two players *A* and *B* seek to maximize the product of their utilities above a "disagreement point." Papakonstantinidis adds (the Community) as an active or passive stakeholder.

$(u_1 - d_1)(u_2 - d_2)$

The Objective Function

The model seeks to maximize a joint utility function U_{3w} (the "3-Win" utility):

$$\max \prod_{i=A,B,C} (u_i - d_i)$$

Where:

- u_i : The utility gained by party (A, B, and the Community C).
- d_i The disagreement point (what each party gets if no agreement is reached).
- **The "C" Factor:** Unlike *A* and *B*, who are active negotiators, *C* represents the local social and environmental interest.

The Mathematical Logic

The LP Algorithm operates on the principle that the Community (C) is not just a bystander but a "virtual player."

In a standard Nash Bargaining Solution, we maximize the product of the gains:

$(u_1 - d_1)(u_2 - d_2)$

Where d_i is the "disagreement point" or the worst-case scenario.

In the Win-Win-Win model, we introduce a third variable, representing the community's utility. The algorithm seeks to find the Social Optimum:

The Steps of the LP¹ Algorithm

1. Identification of the "Disagreement Point" d_i

Before the three wins can happen, the model calculates what happens if everyone walks away. In a social context, this often means social unrest, environmental decay, or economic stagnation.

2. The Sensitization Process (S)

This is the "magic" of the model. It uses a Weighting Factor (w) to adjust the players' preferences.

If $w = 0$ the players are purely selfish.

As w increases toward 1 the players "internalize" the community's needs.

The algorithm calculates a new "sensitized" utility:

$$u'_i = u_i + w(S)$$

Let (U_A, U_B, U_C) be the utilities of the two negotiators and the community. The "Global Utility" (W) defined as:

$$W = f(U_A, U_B, U_C)$$

3. The Sensensic Equilibrium

The model proves that if A and B incorporate the "Social Win" into their bargaining, the resulting equilibrium is more stable than a standard Nash equilibrium. This is because it reduces the "social cost" or "externalities" that typically destabilize bilateral agreements over time.

The mathematical condition for the Win-Win-Win point is reached when:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial U_A} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial U_B} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial U_C}$$

2. The "Sensitization" Process & $\ln 2$

A unique mathematical feature of the model is the use of the **Limit of Sensitization**. Papakonstantinidis often links the behavior of the bargainers to the value $\ln 2 \approx 0,693$

- **Behavioral Elasticity (β):** The model assesses how sensitive negotiators are to the community's needs.
- **The Limit:** The model suggests that as the "sensitization" process (information sharing and

empathy) reaches its peak, the disagreement point shifts. This is sometimes expressed through the sequence toward the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n \rightarrow e$$

- In the papers, the value $0,693(\ln 2)$ is used as a threshold for "socially acceptable choices" in a negotiation where participants move from purely selfish "technical" behavior to "cooperative" behavior.

3. Socially Acceptable Choices Formula

To calculate the number of "socially acceptable" options (y) in a negotiation, the model uses:

$$y = x^\beta + \ln(e^x)$$

Where:

- x : The number of negotiators ($x \geq 2$).
- β : The elasticity of behavior ($0 \leq \beta \leq 1$).
- $\beta = 0$: Perfectly **inelastic** (selfish/rigid).
- $\beta = 1$: Perfectly **elastic** (sensitized/cooperative).

Example: If there are 2 negotiators ($x = 2$) with perfectly inelastic behavior ($\beta = 0$):

$$y = 2^0 + \ln(e^2) = 1 + 2 = 3 \text{ choices}$$

4. The "Angel's Point"

The mathematical goal of the model is to reach the **Angel's Point**—the marginal equilibrium where:

1. **Individual Profit** is maximized for A and B
2. **Social/Community Justice** is satisfied for C
3. **Sustainability** is maintained (the "extra" value created by cooperation is reinvested into the community).

This is often represented as a *3D Pareto Frontier* where the optimal point is the one that minimizes the "social distance" between individual greed and collective well-being.

¹ Leonidas Papakonstantinidis Algorithm (LP Algorithm)

5. Summary Table

Component	Traditional Win-Win	Papakonstantinidis Win-Win-Win
Parties	2 (Negotiator A & B)	3 (A, B, and Community C)
Logic	Rational Self-interest	Sensitized Social Interest
Equilibrium	Nash Equilibrium	"Angel's Point" (Tri-polar)
Driver	Technical/Economic Power	Empathy/Knowledge/Information

The model is frequently applied today in **Sustainable Tourism**, **Local Development**, and **Labor Markets** to ensure that private deals don't "externalize" costs onto the public.

APPLICATIONS

The **Win-Win-Win Papakonstantinidis Model** is highly versatile because it can be applied to any scenario where a private agreement or policy impacts a broader, surrounding group.

Rather than treating community impacts as "externalities" (as traditional economic models do), this framework pulls the **Community ("C" factor)** right to the bargaining table. Because of this, it is actively applied across several real-world fields, ranging from environmental management to local public policy.

1. Sustainable Tourism & Agrotourism

Tourism is one of the most common applications of this model, as it natively involves private businesses, consumers, and local residents.

- **The Conflict:** Hoteliers and tour operators want profit maximizing (Win 1); tourists want affordable luxury/leisure (Win 2). However, this often leads to over-tourism, environmental decay, and soaring local rent (Lose 3).
- **The Model's Solution:** By treating tourism as a tripartite negotiation, the model establishes a framework where businesses share profits with the community or reinvest in local infrastructure. For example, it was famously applied to **Women's Rural Tourism Cooperatives in Greece**, ensuring that tourism sustained local agrarian lifestyle rather than displacing it.

2. Environmental Policy & Wildlife Management

The model is highly effective in managing ecological conflicts where nature and human survival collide.

- **Case Study (Human-Elephant Conflict in Sri Lanka):** Papakonstantinidis co-authored a study applying the model to the severe human-elephant conflict in Sri Lanka.
- **The Application:**
- **Pole A (Farmers/Local Residents):** Need physical safety and crop protection.

- **Pole B (State/Conservationists):** Need to protect a fragile, globally significant elephant population.
- **Pole C (The Broad Community/Ecosystem):** Requires long-term biodiversity and agricultural stability.
- **Result:** The model shifts the focus from hostile territory-defense to a "sensitized" cooperative framework involving eco-tourism subsidies and community-led barrier management.

3. Local & Endogenous Regional Development

The model is heavily used to spark growth in "Less Developed Countries/Areas" (LDCs) by focusing on **endogenous development** (growth from within).

- **Case Study (The Konitsa Region, Greece):** Applied to the rugged, peripheral mountainous area of Konitsa to fight severe "brain drain."
- **The Application:** Instead of traditional regional models that suggest bringing in outside factories with low-wage labor (which exploits locals), the Papakonstantinidis model relies on a "Sensitized Agent" (like a local municipality) to mediate between outside investors and local youth. The goal is to build local capital and ensure projects in delicate areas (like the Vikos-Aoos National Park) do not compromise environmental integrity.

4. Organizational Excellence & Lean Management

Historically, **Lean Management** focuses on streamlining business operations to maximize value and eliminate waste. However, corporations often hit a wall called the "Win-Win-Lose" trap.

- **The Trap:** Management cuts costs (Win 1) and improves speed for the customer (Win 2), but burns out the workforce (Lose 3).
- **The Application:** Integrating the Papakonstantinidis model forces the corporate framework to view the internal employee pool as "the Community." If employee well-being is violated, the model dictates a mathematical breakdown of the system,

steering management toward sustainable, ethical high performance where employee morale is treated as an asset.

5. Labor Markets & Social Welfare Economics

Papakonstantinidis introduced a radical concept into labor economics called "**Wealth as a Loan.**"

- **The Philosophy:** Under this model, personal or corporate wealth is considered a "loan" provided by society to the individual so they may run a business. The interest paid back on that loan is ethical management and fair wages.
- **The Application:** In labor negotiations (specifically studied across markets like Greece and Germany), the model introduces an overall "arbitrator" mindset. It mathematically proves that a capitalist model can co-exist with high social welfare *if* the bargainers are "sensitized" to view the labor

market not as a battlefield, but as a community bank of human resources.

6. Artificial Intelligence & Smart Governance

The model has been integrated into **AI and Predictive Analytics** for public administration.

- **The Application:** AI platforms use the model's quantitative foundations (like the "Socially Acceptable Choices" formula) to predict how citizens will react to local policies.
- **Social Economy Enterprises (SEEs):** AI marketing and data platforms utilize the Win-Win-Win framework to help small, sustainable, non-profit-first enterprises cleanly bridge the data gap, allowing them to compete with massive corporate monopolies while keeping community wealth local.